

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,561	04/25/2001	Yann Cheri	35451/127 (3626.Palm)	7494
26371 FOLEY & LA	7590 01/09/2009 RDNER LI P	EXAMINER		
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE			CASCHERA, ANTONIO A	
MILWAUKEE	E, WI 53202-5306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 09/842,561
 CHERI ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Antonio A. Caschera
 2628

Antonio A. Caschera 2628 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Antonio A. Caschera. (3)	•	Examiner	Art Unit				
(2) Steve Becker. (2) Steve Becker. (3)		Antonio A. Caschera	2628				
(2) Steve Becker. (4) Date of Interview: (6 January 2009. Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes if Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 18.21 and 26. Identification of prior art discussed: Cited prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated that the proposal to included all of the claim limitations from claims 21 and 26 into Calim 18 would overcome the current cited prior art. Examiner instructed Applicant's Representative to file such amendments via an official response to the previous office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable; if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would vender the claims	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
Date of Interview: 06 January 2009. Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) □ No. If Yes, brief description: □ Claim(s) discussed: 18.21 and 26. Identification of prior art discussed: Cited prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated that the proposal to included all of the claim limitations from claims 21 and 26 into claim 18 would overcome the current cited prior art. Examiner instructed Applicant's Representative to file such amendments via an official response to the previous office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable. if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims	(1) Antonio A. Caschera.	(3)					
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Shows a series of the series of	(2) <u>Steve Becker</u> .	(4)					
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 18.21 and 26. Identification of prior art discussed: Cited prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated that the proposal to included all of the claim limitations from claims 21 and 26 into claim 18 would overcome the current cited prior art. Examiner instructed Applicant's Representative to file such amendments via an official response to the previous office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims	Date of Interview: 06 January 2009.						
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 18.21 and 26. Identification of prior art discussed: Cited prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) ⊠ was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated that the proposal to included all of the claim limitations from claims 21 and 26 into claim 18 would overcome the current cited prior art. Examiner instructed Applicant's Representative to file such amendments via an official response to the previous office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable; if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims	Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]				
Identification of prior art discussed: Cited prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. h N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated that the proposal to included all of the claim limitations from claims 21 and 26 into claim 18 would overcome the current cited prior art. Examiner instructed Applicant's Representative to file such amendments via an official response to the previous office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable. if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims		e) No.					
Agreement with respect to the claims fy was reached. g) was not reached. h) \(\text{ N/A}. \) Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Examiner indicated that the proposal to included all of the claim limitations from claims 21 and 26 into claim 18 would overcome the current cited prior art. Examiner instructed Applicant's Representative to file such amendments via an official response to the previous office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims	Claim(s) discussed: 18,21 and 26.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated that the proposal to included all of the claim limitations from claims 21 and 26 into claim 18 would overcome the current cited prior art. Examiner instructed Applicant's Representative to file such amendments via an official response to the previous office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims	Identification of prior art discussed: Cited prior art.						
reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated that the proposal to included all of the claim limitations from claims 21 and 26 into claim 18 would overcome the current cited prior art. Examiner instructed Applicant's Representative to file such amendments via an official response to the previous office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							